Small-scale universality in fluid turbulence
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Turbulent flows in nature and technology possess a range of scales. The largest scales carry the memory of the physical system in which a flow is embedded. One challenge is to unravel the universal statistical properties that all turbulent flows share despite their different large-scale driving mechanisms or their particular flow geometries. In the present work, we study three turbulent flows of systematically increasing complexity. These are homogeneous and isotropic turbulence in a periodic box, turbulent shear flow between two parallel walls, and thermal convection in a closed cylindrical container. They are computed by highly resolved direct numerical simulations of the governing dynamical equations. We use these simulation data to establish two fundamental results: (i) at Reynolds numbers \( Re \sim 10^4 \) the fluctuations of the velocity derivatives pass through a transition from nearly Gaussian (or slightly sub-Gaussian) to intermittent behavior that is characteristic of fully developed high Reynolds number turbulence, and (ii) beyond the transition point, the statistics of the rate of energy dissipation in all three flows obey the same Reynolds number power laws derived for homogeneous turbulence. These results allow us to claim universality of small scales even at low Reynolds numbers. Our results shed new light on the notion of when the turbulence is fully developed at the small scales without relying on the existence of an extended inertial range.

Three Turbulent Flows with Increasing Complexity

Our study is based on three turbulent flows of systematically increasing complexity (homogeneous and isotropic turbulence in a periodic box, flow between two parallel walls, and thermal convection in a closed cylindrical container) that are computed by well-resolved direct numerical simulations. All these flows are governed by the Navier–Stokes equations

\[
\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} = 0,
\]

\[
\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (u_j u_i) = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i} + \nu \frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial x_j^2} + f_i,
\]

where \( \nu \) is the kinematic viscosity, \( p \) is the pressure, \( \rho \) is the mass density, and the forcing \( f_i(x, t) \) stands for different mechanisms of maintaining the turbulence. For the convection problem an additional advection–diffusion equation has to be solved for the temperature field \( T(x, t) \) that couples back to the flow via the forcing term \( f_i \). The turbulent velocity field \( u_i(x, t) \) is decomposed into a fluctuating component \( \tilde{v}(x_i, t) \) and a mean flow \( \overline{u}_i(x_j) \). We define the Reynolds number as

\[
Re = \frac{v_{rms} L}{\nu},
\]

where \( v_{rms} \) is the root-mean-square fluctuation velocity; the length scale \( L \) is the side of the cube for box turbulence (9), half the distance \( H \) for the turbulent shear flow between parallel plates (13) and the height \( H \) of the cell for convection (14, 15).

Different boundary conditions and forcing produce different large-scale features. The simplest of these three flows is the homogeneous isotropic turbulent flow in a cube with periodic boundary conditions. It has been thought to require very high Reynolds numbers. Consequently, many substantial efforts have been made to produce such high-Reynolds-number flows (e.g., ref. 12).

Here, we show evidence for an alternative point of view: If one resolves small scales accurately, one observes, even at low Reynolds numbers, universal scaling of velocity gradients that manifest primarily at small scales. We stress that small-scale dynamics are strongly nonlinear even in low-Reynolds-number flows driven by large-scale forcing. There is thus considerable merit in measuring or simulating low-Reynolds-number flows much more accurately than has been the practice and exploring the evidence for universality (or lack thereof), instead of advancing as inevitable the notion that useful lessons about universality are possible only at very high Reynolds numbers. Indeed, another result of this paper is that there exists a threshold Reynolds number above which Gaussian-like fluctuations tend to assume intermittent characteristics of fully developed flows and that these features can be extracted by accessing increasingly smaller scales even if the Reynolds numbers are quite moderate. The latter result is especially important for purposes of identifying a fixed point in certain renormalization group expansion procedures (8).

Significance

Since the time Kolmogorov postulated the universality of small-scale turbulence, an important research topic has been to experimentally establish it beyond doubt. The likelihood of small-scale universality increases with increasing distance (say, in wave number space) from the nonuniversal large scales. This distance increases as some power of the flow Reynolds number, and so a great deal of emphasis has been put on creating and quantifying very high Reynolds number flows under controlled conditions. The present paper shows that the universal properties of inertial range turbulence (thought to exist only at very high Reynolds numbers) are already present in an incipient way even at modest Reynolds numbers and hence changes the paradigm of research in this field.
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boundaries and without a mean flow (Fig. 1A). The turbulence here is sustained by forcing at the large scale (9, 10). In ref. 9 a handful of low-wavenumber Fourier modes is driven such that a fixed amount of turbulent kinetic energy is injected into the flow at each time unit. Some details of these procedures are discussed in SI Text. In ref. 10, a stochastic forcing is applied for a number of low-wavenumber Fourier modes. Statistical homogeneity is established in all three space directions. Next in complexity is the flow between parallel plates with no-slip boundaries (Fig. 1B) and a mean flow \( \dot{\Pi}_B(z) \) (13), where \( z \) is in the direction separating the plates. The turbulence is inhomogeneous in direction \( z \) but homogeneous in the downstream direction \( x \) along the flow because of the constant pressure drop and also, by construction, in the spanwise direction \( y \). Statistical homogeneity in the azimuthal direction remains in a cylindrical Rayleigh–Bénard convection (RBC) cell with solid walls (Fig. 1C). This turbulent flow, also satisfying the no-slip condition on all of the walls, is driven by a sustained temperature difference \( \Delta T \) between the top and bottom plates that causes buoyancy forces to trigger and maintain the fluid motion (14, 15). The side walls are thermally insulated. In the Boussinesq approximation (16), the driving simplifies to \( f_z = g \Delta T \), with gravity acceleration \( g \) and (isobaric) thermal expansion coefficient \( \alpha \). Here the mean flow is a large-scale 3D circulation whose scale is comparable to the cell size (17–19).

At the smallest scales in a turbulent flow the kinetic energy is dissipated into frictional heat by molecular viscosity. The amount of kinetic energy loss per unit mass and unit time is the kinetic energy dissipation rate, which is defined as

\[
\epsilon = \frac{\nu}{3} \left( \frac{\partial v_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v_y}{\partial y} \right)^2.
\]  

\[\text{[4]}\]

The statistical mean of the energy dissipation rate, \( \overline{\epsilon} \), is also the rate of energy transfer from large scales of the order \( L \) down to the smallest ones on the order of the viscous scales \( \nu \kappa = \nu^{2/3} / \kappa^{1/3} \), at which the velocity fluctuations are damped out (1). Intermittent fluctuations of \( \epsilon \) are displayed in Fig. 1 D–F, where we plot instantaneous 2D slices of the field in logarithmic levels of intensity. In all three flows, we observe strongly filamented shear layers, a fingerprint of the spatial intermittency of the kinetic energy dissipation rate field.

We have collected data from direct numerical simulations of homogeneous isotropic box turbulence (9, 10) and channel flow turbulence (13) that apply the pseudospectral Fourier and Fourier–Chebyshev methods, respectively. These two methods are standard and need no elaboration. The third dataset for turbulent convection has been obtained with a spectral element method, the nek5000 package (20), which has been adapted to our turbulent convection study (21). In turbulent convection the temperature difference \( \Delta T \) sustained between the hot bottom and the cold top plates is quantified nondimensionally by the Rayleigh number \( Ra = \rho g \Delta T H^3 / (\kappa \nu) \), where \( \kappa \) is the thermal diffusivity of the fluid. The Prandtl number is set to that of convection in air or other gases with \( Pr = \nu / \kappa = 0.7 \). Rayleigh numbers in our simulations vary over nearly five orders of magnitude from \( Ra = 3 \times 10^2 \) to \( 10^{10} \). The aspect ratio of the cylindrical cell is \( \Gamma = D / H = 1 \). The total number of mesh cells grows to more than 4 billion in all three flow cases, which requires massively parallel supercomputations (more details in SI Text).

### Universal Scaling and Transition to Intermittency

Starting from the Navier–Stokes Eqs. 1 and 2, the scaling relations for the moments of the energy dissipation rate were derived from a theory for homogeneous and isotropic turbulence in ref. 6. They are given by

\[
\overline{\epsilon^2} \propto Re^{d_\epsilon},
\]

with the exponents

\[
d_\epsilon = n + \frac{\zeta_n}{5 + \frac{\zeta_n}{5} - \frac{\zeta_n + 1}{5}} - 1,
\]

\[\text{[6]}\]

Here, \( \zeta_n \) is the intermittent-range scaling exponent of the \( n \)th order moment of the velocity increment \( \Delta v_x = v_x(x + r) - v_x(x) \), with \( v_x \)

![Fig. 1. Three turbulent flows and structure of energy dissipation field. (A) Homogeneous and isotropic turbulence in a cube of length \( L \) on the side with periodic boundary conditions in all three space directions. Statistical homogeneity is present in all directions. (B) Turbulent shear flow in a channel of height \( 2H \) with solid walls at the top and bottom and periodic boundaries in the horizontal directions. The unidirectional mean flow in the downstream direction is indicated and homogeneity is sustained in both horizontal directions. (C) Cylindrical convection cell of height \( H \) and radius \( R \) with isothermal hot bottom and cold top plate. The cell of unit aspect ratio is given by \( V = (r, \phi, z) : 0 \leq r R \leq 0.5, 0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi, 0 \leq z H \leq 1 \). The mean flow, a 3D large-scale circulation, is shown schematically (see description of Fig. 2B). The convective flow in the cylindrical cell is statistically homogeneous in the azimuthal direction only. (D) A slice (parallel to one of the walls) of the instantaneous kinetic energy dissipation rate field for \( Re = 5,587 \) in box turbulence (10). The box with a side length \( L = 2\pi \) was resolved with 2,048\(^3\) equidistant grid points. (E) Kinetic energy dissipation rate in the midplane of a channel flow for \( Re = 1,160 \). The simulation required \( 2,048 \times 2,049 \times 1,024 \) points for a channel with spatial extent \( 2\pi H \times 2H \times 2H \). (F) Same quantity in the midplane of a convection cell at \( Re = 4,638 \). The convection cell of unit aspect ratio is covered by 875,520 spectral elements, each containing 12\(^2\) Gauss–Lobatto–Legendre collocation points.](https://www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1410791111)
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being the velocity fluctuation in the $x$ direction and the separation distance $r$ also measured along $x$ (definition in SI Text). To make further progress, the functional dependence of the scaling exponents $\zeta_n$ has to be given explicitly. In ref. 22 a convenient functional form with $\zeta_{2n} = 2n(1 + 3\beta)/(3(1 + 2\beta))$ is provided that, with the free-fitting parameter $\beta$ set to 0.05, agrees with available experimental data in high-Reynolds-number flows (5) as well as with popular parameterizations of $\zeta_n$, e.g., with the She–Levêque intermittency model (4). This leads to $d_2 = 0.157$, $d_3 = 0.489$, and $d_4 = 0.944$. Additionally, $d_1 = 0$. Note that the fact $d_1 \leq 0$ has been proved from first principles (23). Higher exponents are beyond the reach of rigorous mathematics until the existence–uniqueness problem for the Navier–Stokes equations is solved (24).

The most important ingredient of the theory is the concept of a locally fluctuating scale $\eta$ (25, 26), which probes local velocity gradients and is connected to the local velocity difference and viscosity by $\eta \Delta \nu_x = \nu$ (27, 28). The resulting theory yields a prediction that is different from that of the Kolmogorov refined similarity hypothesis (29): $d_{2n}^{\eta} = 3(n - \zeta_{2n})/4$ (30). The power law exponents $d_n$ are connected with the exponents of the longitudinal velocity increment moments in the inertial range, $(\Delta \nu_x)^{\eta} \propto r^{\zeta_n}$.

Definition [4] shows that the determination of the full dissipation field requires the measurement of all nine components of the velocity gradient tensor $\nabla_u$, which is still a challenging experimental task (31). Numerical simulations also become very demanding because high-amplitude events of the energy dissipation field have to be resolved correctly (9). We have taken a computational mesh that is finer than in standard simulations, which enhances the computational effort significantly. The gain is a faithfully represented velocity gradient. The statistical convergence of the dissipation rate moments for the RBC case is discussed in SI Text. Many studies of homogeneous turbulence exist (e.g., refs. 10, 11, and 32) and, although fewer in number, also of channel flows (e.g., refs. 13, 33, and 34). The definition of the Reynolds number in each of these two cases is straightforward.

The definition of the Reynolds number $Re$ in convection needs some thought. Fig. 2A shows $Re$ as a function of the Rayleigh number (i.e., nondimensional thermal driving) for two choices of the velocity: the SD of the total velocity field, $u_{rms}$, and of the fluctuations, $v_{rns}$. The least-squares fits to both Reynolds numbers follow nearly the same scaling. What is important is to get a sense of the mean wind, or large-scale circulation, that exists in the flow. Fig. 2B displays this mean flow for a Rayleigh number of $Ra = 10^7$. It consists of a single circulation roll that fills the whole cell and obeys very slow dynamics with respect to time, which would require very long simulation runs, inaccessible with present capabilities.

The first important result concerns the transition between nearly Gaussian and intermittent non-Gaussian behaviors for the velocity gradient statistics. We demonstrate this transition for one longitudinal velocity derivative, $\partial u/\partial x$. As shown in Fig. 3A and C, the probability density functions of $\partial u/\partial x$ change from being nearly Gaussian to fat-tailed ones as the Reynolds number increases.

Data for isotropic box turbulence and convection are displayed. In Fig. 3B and D, it is possible to infer a transition from Gaussian to anomalous scaling of the moments of $\partial u/\partial x$ (from 0 for the skewness and 3 for the flatness factor). Whereas the derivatives in homogeneous isotropic turbulence have been analyzed in the whole volume, the data points for the RBC simulation are collected in a subvolume $V_0 \subset V$ in the center of the convection cell. It is given by $V_0 = \{r, \phi, z\} | 0 \leq rR \leq 0.3; 0.2 \leq zH \leq 0.8\}$, where $R$ is the radius of the convection cell.

Three comments are appropriate. First, as one may expect, higher-order moments than the third and the fourth show a sharper transition. Second, this transitional behavior is shared by all three flows considered here (two of which are shown). Therefore, we might reasonably surmise this feature to be universal. The result on the transitional Reynolds number, previously underappreciated, is important because it provides a constraint on the development of turbulence models via renormalization group methods: It plays the role of the fixed point for calculations that repeatedly obliterate small scales by successive averaging protocols. Third, the transition Reynolds number, defined on the basis of the large scale in the flow, is on the order of 100 for all flows—although its precise numerical value depends on the forcing and other large-scale details.

In Fig. 4 we display the scaling of the dissipation rate moments of order $n = 2–4$ vs. the large-scale Reynolds number $Re$ (error estimates in SI Text) and observe power-law scaling for all three flows, except for very low Reynolds numbers below transition. If one plots the data against Reynolds numbers after subtracting the transition values, the inference does not change substantially. The data points for isotropic box turbulence have been obtained by averaging over the whole volume. For the channel
low to moderate Reynolds numbers, instead of chasing the goal of "asymptotically high" values. This makes the turbulence problem entirely amenable to a serious study and opens alternative roads for the parameterization of small scales that cannot be resolved in many applications.

**Discussion**

We summarize our results as follows: For small Reynolds numbers of the order of 100, a transition occurs from sub-Gaussian or nearly Gaussian velocity gradient statistics to intermittent non-Gaussian ones. At the transition Reynolds number the derivative fluctuations are Gaussian. The existence of a Gaussian point is of theoretical interest in theories of renormalization (8). Past this transition point, velocity gradient statistics in all three flows follow a universal scaling behavior, as demonstrated here by the Reynolds number scaling of the energy dissipation rate $e$. These results hold for three turbulent flows of increasing complexity, so we expect them to be universal. The sensitivity of this result to the large-scale forcing is yet to be understood in detail.

This study further suggests that the intermittent fluctuations of velocity gradients, which dominate at the lower end of the turbulent cascade range and down into the viscous range, display properties of high-Reynolds-number turbulence at much lower Reynolds number than is inferred from moments of velocity increments in the inertial range. In some sense, well-resolved dissipation contains ingredients of high-Reynolds-number turbulence once the transition value is exceeded.

These conclusions suggest also that, in the future, a plausible method for studying intermittent or anomalous scaling properties of turbulence is to study well-resolved energy dissipation at low to moderate Reynolds numbers, instead of chasing the goal properties of high-Reynolds-number turbulence at much lower Reynolds number than is inferred from moments of velocity increments in the inertial range. In some sense, well-resolved dissipation contains ingredients of high-Reynolds-number turbulence once the transition value is exceeded.

These conclusions suggest also that, in the future, a plausible method for studying intermittent or anomalous scaling properties of turbulence is to study well-resolved energy dissipation at low to moderate Reynolds numbers, instead of chasing the goal properties of high-Reynolds-number turbulence at much lower Reynolds number than is inferred from moments of velocity increments in the inertial range. In some sense, well-resolved dissipation contains ingredients of high-Reynolds-number turbulence once the transition value is exceeded.
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The Derivation of the Power Law Exponents of the Dissipation Rate Moments

The derivation of the following scaling relations is done for the case of homogeneous isotropic turbulence. Without loss of generality we take, for considerations to follow, the x component of the velocity field. In the limit of vanishing distances \( r \), the velocity is an analytic function so that its derivative is defined as

\[
\frac{\partial v_x}{\partial t} = \lim_{r \to 0} \frac{v_x(x + r) - v_x(x)}{r} \approx \frac{v_x(x + \eta) - v_x(x)}{\eta} \equiv \frac{\Delta \nu \nu}{\eta}.
\]

where a still-unknown fluctuating length scale \( \eta \) can be interpreted as an approximate boundary between smooth and rough (or scaling) components of the velocity field. The viscous effects become important when the local Reynolds number is approximately unity (1-3):

\[
Re_\eta = \frac{\eta \Delta \nu \nu}{\nu} \approx 1.
\]

The following derivation of all scaling relations is based on the approach developed in refs. 3 and 4, which is based on the underlying Navier–Stokes equations and resulting equations for the even-order structure functions. Alternative derivations in the multifractal framework lead to similar exponents and have been developed by Paladino and Vulpiani (1) and Nelkin (5); for a recent discussion see also ref. 6. With the large-scale Reynolds number \( \text{Re} = \text{Re}_{\text{L}}/\nu \) one gets an order-dependent crossover scale between the inertial and the viscous range,

\[
\eta_{2n} \approx \text{L} \text{Re}^{\frac{1}{2}(\zeta_{2n} - 3n + 1 - 1)}. \tag{S3}
\]

The exponents \( \zeta_n \) are scaling exponents of nth-order velocity increments obtained in the inertial range,

\[
S_n(r) \equiv \langle \Delta \nu \nu \rangle \propto r^{\zeta_n}. \tag{S4}
\]

For the classical Kolmogorov scaling in the inertial cascade range, \( \zeta_n = n/\beta \) and Eq. S3 yields the classical Kolmogorov length scale \( \eta_{2n} = L \text{Re}^{-n/\beta} = \eta_K \) for all orders \( n \), as consistency would require.

To make further progress, the functional dependence of the scaling exponents \( \zeta_n \) has to be given explicitly. As already stated in the main text, a convenient functional form is given by \( \zeta_{2n} = 2n(1 + 3\beta)/ (3(1 + 2\beta/\beta)) \) with \( \beta \) set to 0.05 (7). The scaling behavior of the spatial derivative can be calculated as

\[
\frac{\partial \nu \nu}{\partial t} \approx \frac{\Delta \nu \nu}{\eta} \equiv \frac{(\Delta \nu \nu)^{2n}}{\nu^{\zeta_{2n}}} \propto \text{Re}^{\zeta_{2n}} \text{Re}^{\zeta_{2n}}, \tag{S5}
\]

where we have used that the “dynamic” Reynolds number at the local dissipation scale is unity; i.e., Eq. S2 holds. The use of [S3], together with \( S_{2n} \eta_{2n} \sim \eta_{2n}^{2n} \), yields the following relation for the scaling exponents of the derivative moments:

\[
\rho_n = n + \zeta_{2n} - \zeta_{2n+1} = 1. \tag{S6}
\]

Because \( \zeta_3 = 1 \) (8), relation [S6] gives \( \zeta_2 = (2 - 2p)/(2 - \rho) \). For the Kolmogorov value of \( \zeta_2 = 2/3 \), we obtain \( \rho_1 = 1/2 \). The anomaly that may exist in the first-order exponent \( \rho_1 \) for velocity gradients is related to the second-order inertial exponent \( \zeta_4 \). For moments of the kinetic energy dissipation rate, one can write

\[
\bar{\varepsilon}^n \approx \lambda^n \left( \frac{(\Delta \nu \nu)^{2n}}{\nu} \right) = \frac{(\Delta \nu \nu)^{2n}}{\nu^n} \propto \text{Re}^{\zeta_{2n}}, \tag{S7}
\]

where

\[
\delta_n = n + \zeta_{4n} + \frac{\zeta_{4n}}{\zeta_{4n} + 1} = 1. \tag{S8}
\]

Thus, the second-order quantities for the dissipation rate are expressed in terms of the eighth-order quantities involving velocity increments. In general, to accurately evaluate \( \bar{\varepsilon}^n \), one has to resolve the analytic range within which \( S_{4n}(r) \propto \eta^{\delta_n} \). This difficulty for large \( n \) is one of the main considerations of the theory (4).

Large-Scale Forcing in Homogeneous Isotropic Turbulence

In ref. 4 we added a volume-forcing \( f(x, t) \) that is implemented in the Fourier space for the modes with the largest wavenumbers \( k_f \) only; i.e., \( k_f^{-2} = L \). The kinetic energy is injected at a fixed rate \( e_{\text{in}} \) into the flow. The volume forcing is given by

\[
f(k, t) = e_{\text{in}} \frac{u_k(k, t)}{\sum_k \delta_{k, k'} |u_k(k, t)|^2} \delta_{k, k'}, \tag{S9}
\]

where the wavevector subset \( K \) contains \( k_0 = (1, 1, 2) \) and \( (1, 2, 2) \) plus all permutations with respect to components and signs. This energy injection mechanism prescribes the mean energy dissipation rate; that is, the magnitude of the first moment of the energy dissipation rate field, \( \varepsilon \), is determined by the power (or energy injection rate), \( e_{\text{in}} \), having no Reynolds number dependence. Therefore, \( d_1 = 0 \). This can be seen as follows. Given the periodic boundary conditions of the box, the turbulent kinetic energy balance that results from the Navier–Stokes equation in Fourier space is given by

\[
\frac{dE_k}{dt} = -\nu \sum_k k^2 |u_k(k, t)|^2 + \sum_k f(k, t) \cdot u^*(k, t). \tag{S10}
\]

An additional time averaging results in

\[
\nu \sum_k k^2 \langle |u(k, t)|^2 \rangle = \bar{\varepsilon} = e_{\text{in}} = \sum_k \langle f(k, t) \cdot u^*(k, t) \rangle. \tag{S11}
\]

This driving thus allows a full control of the Kolmogorov scale \( \eta_K \) in comparison with the grid spacing.

In ref. 9 a similar set of modes is driven by a stochastic process easing the constraint of injecting a fixed amount of turbulent kinetic energy.

Statistical Convergence of the Moments of Energy Dissipation Rate

The most convenient way to check the convergence of the \( n \)th moment of energy dissipation is to plot \( \varepsilon^n \rho(\varepsilon) \) as a function of \( \varepsilon \) and assess whether the distribution is well behaved. If there is excessive scatter, it is a sure sign that more data are needed for convergence. Fig. S1 plots \( \varepsilon^n \rho(\varepsilon) \) for \( n = 2, 3, \) and 4 and a Rayleigh number of...
$Ra = 10^9$. One estimate of the error bars is based on the areas under curves that may be drawn by taking extremes in the data of Fig. S1. It is eminently clear that the second and third moments are converged, and the error estimates are negligible. The error bars on the fourth moment, on the other hand, are not insignificant and could be as high as 20%. This magnitude of error bars does not make any difference to the conclusions of Fig. 4.

Table S1 summarizes the relative errors of all convection simulations together with further information on the computation runs. Further details can be found in ref. 10. The largest Rayleigh number run was conducted with 65,536 message-passing interface tasks on 32,768 Blue Gene/Q processor cores. The error bars for the cases of homogeneous isotropic turbulence and turbulent channel flow have been discussed in refs. 4 and 11, respectively.


Fig. S1. Statistical convergence of the second (Top), third (Middle), and fourth (Bottom) moments of the energy dissipation rate in the subvolume $V_b$ for the Rayleigh–Bénard convection case. The subvolume $V_b = \{(r, z) : 0.2H \leq z \leq 0.8H\}$ is placed at the middle of the convection cell. Data are obtained for the Rayleigh number of $Ra = 10^9$, which corresponds with a Reynolds number of $Re = 4,648$. The data are plotted in blue, the lower envelope is in red, and the upper envelope is in green. Dissipation rates are given units of $U_f^3/H$ with $U_f = \sqrt{g\Delta TH}$.
Table S1. Statistical convergence of the convection runs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ra</th>
<th>Ns</th>
<th>Ne</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Relative error in %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$3 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>3,520</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>3,520</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$7 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>3,520</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>30,720</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>30,720</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1 \times 10^7$</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>30,720</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5 \times 10^7$</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>30,720</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1 \times 10^8$</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>256,000</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1 \times 10^9$</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>875,520</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1 \times 10^{10}$</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>2,374,400</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We list Rayleigh number Ra, the number of statistically independent snapshots $N_s$, the number of spectral elements $N_e$, polynomial order $N$ on each element for each space dimension, and relative error for the fourth-order moment of the kinetic energy dissipation rate obtained in $V_b$. 
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