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1. Consider a linear FIR filter with weight vector $\boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{C}^{M}$ operating on a zero-mean input signal $\boldsymbol{x}[n] \in$ $\mathbb{C}^{M}$ such that the filter output is given by $y[n]=\boldsymbol{w}^{\mathrm{H}} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}[n]$. The mean output power is given by

$$
P_{\text {out }}(\boldsymbol{w})=\mathbb{E}\left\{|y[n]|^{2}\right\}=\boldsymbol{w}^{\mathrm{H}} \cdot \mathbb{E}\left\{\boldsymbol{x}[n] \cdot \boldsymbol{x}^{\mathrm{H}}[n]\right\} \cdot \boldsymbol{w}=\boldsymbol{w}^{\mathrm{H}} \cdot \boldsymbol{R}_{x x} \cdot \boldsymbol{w},
$$

where $\boldsymbol{R}_{x x} \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times M}$ is the positive definite covariance matrix of the input signal, i.e., it is of full rank.
(a) Find the unconstrained optimum, i.e., the weight vector $\boldsymbol{w}$ which minimizes $P_{\text {out }}(\boldsymbol{w})$ if no further constraints are made.

Now we add constraints. Let $M=3$ such that $\boldsymbol{w}=\left[w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}$ and let two constraints be given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{1}+w_{3}=1 \\
& w_{1}-w_{2}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) Express the constraints in the form $\boldsymbol{c}(\boldsymbol{w})=\boldsymbol{C}^{\mathrm{H}} \cdot \boldsymbol{w}-\boldsymbol{g} \stackrel{!}{=} \mathbf{0}$, i.e., find $\boldsymbol{C}$ and $\boldsymbol{g}$.
(c) Find a basis for the column space and the left null space of $\boldsymbol{C}$.

We now want to solve the constrained minimization problem

$$
\min _{\boldsymbol{w}} P_{\text {out }}(\boldsymbol{w}) \quad \text { subject to } \boldsymbol{c}(\boldsymbol{w})=\mathbf{0} .
$$

(d) Specify the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ for this constrained optimization problem.
(e) What is the dimension of the vector of Lagrangian multipliers $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ ?
(f) Is $\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ an analytic (holomorphic) function?

An alternative implementation of the constrained optimization is given by the Generalized Sidelobe Canceller, where we decompose $\boldsymbol{w}$ into $\boldsymbol{w}=\boldsymbol{w}_{\mathrm{q}}-\boldsymbol{C}_{\mathrm{a}} \cdot \boldsymbol{w}_{\mathrm{a}}$ such that $\boldsymbol{C}^{\mathrm{H}} \cdot \boldsymbol{C}_{\mathrm{a}}$ is a matrix of zeros.
(g) Compute a vector $\boldsymbol{w}_{\mathrm{q}}$ such that $\boldsymbol{C}^{\mathrm{H}} \cdot \boldsymbol{w}=\boldsymbol{g}$.
(h) How is $\boldsymbol{C}_{\mathrm{a}}$ related to the four fundamental subspaces of $\boldsymbol{C}$ ?
(i) What is the size of $\boldsymbol{C}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\boldsymbol{w}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ?
(j) Determine the matrix $\boldsymbol{C}_{\mathrm{a}}$.
(k) Find $\boldsymbol{w}_{\mathrm{a}}$ which minimizes the output power $P_{\text {out }}(\boldsymbol{w})$ for $\boldsymbol{R}_{x x}=\boldsymbol{I}_{3}$.
2. We are given the following $2 \times 2 \times 2$ tensor $\mathcal{X}$ :

$$
\boldsymbol{\mathcal { X }}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right] \circ\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right] \circ\left[\begin{array}{l}
2 \\
0
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right] \circ\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
-1
\end{array}\right] \circ\left[\begin{array}{l}
3 \\
3
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right] \circ\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right] \circ\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
4
\end{array}\right]
$$

(a) What is the (tensor-) rank of $\mathcal{X}$ ?
(b) Compute the "three-mode slices" $[\mathcal{X}]_{(:,:, k)}$ of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal { X }}$, i.e., the matrices $[\mathcal{X}]_{(:,:, 1)}=\left[x_{i, j, k}\right]_{\substack{i=1,2 \\ j=1,2 \\ k=1}}$ and $[\mathcal{X}]_{(:,,, 2)}=\left[x_{i, j, k}\right]_{\substack{i=1,2 \\ j=1,2 \\ k=2}}$, where $x_{i, j, k}$ denotes the $(i, j, k)$-th element of $\mathcal{X}$.
(c) Find three matrices $\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{B}$, and $\boldsymbol{C}$ such that $\boldsymbol{\mathcal { X }}=\boldsymbol{\mathcal { I }} \times_{1} \boldsymbol{A} \times_{2} \boldsymbol{B} \times{ }_{3} \boldsymbol{C}$, where $\boldsymbol{\mathcal { I }}$ is the diagonal 3-D identity tensor of appropriate size.
(d) Find the one-mode, the two-mode, and the three-mode unfoldings of $\mathcal{X}$ in forward (MATLAB) column ordering.
(e) What are the $n$-ranks of $\mathcal{X}$ for $n=1,2,3$ ?
3. Let a $2 \times 3$ matrix $\boldsymbol{X}$ be given by

$$
\boldsymbol{X}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2-j & 1+3 j & -1-2 j \\
x & y & z
\end{array}\right]
$$

(a) Find $x, y, z \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\boldsymbol{X}$ is left- $\boldsymbol{\Pi}$-real.
(b) Find $x, y, z \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\boldsymbol{X}$ is centro-Hermitian.

Now consider a generic $2 \times 2$ matrix $\boldsymbol{A}$ given by

$$
\boldsymbol{A}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right]
$$

(c) Which conditions should $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfy such that $\boldsymbol{A}$ is centro-Hermitian?

The set of centro-Hermitian matrices $\boldsymbol{A}$ can be mapped onto the set of real-valued matrices via the mapping $\varphi(\boldsymbol{A})=\boldsymbol{Q}^{-1} \cdot \boldsymbol{A} \cdot \boldsymbol{Q}$, where $\boldsymbol{Q}$ is a left- $\boldsymbol{\Pi}$-real matrix.
(d) Compute the transformed real-valued matrix $\varphi(\boldsymbol{A})$ using the generic centro-Hermitian $2 \times 2$ matrix from above.
Hint: A unitary left- $\boldsymbol{\Pi}$-real matrix of size $2 n \times 2 n$ is given by $\boldsymbol{Q}_{2 n}^{(s)}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot\left[\begin{array}{cc}\boldsymbol{I}_{n} & j \cdot \boldsymbol{I}_{n} \\ \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{n} & -j \cdot \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{n}\end{array}\right]$.
(e) Show that the matrix above is in fact real-valued.

Hint: $a+a^{*}=2 \cdot \operatorname{Re}\{a\}$ and $a-a^{*}=2 \cdot j \cdot \operatorname{Im}\{a\}$.
(f) (Bonus +2p): In class we have shown that for an arbitrary centro-Hermitian matrix $\boldsymbol{A}$, the transformed matrix $\varphi(\boldsymbol{A})$ is real. Now show that the converse is true, i.e., for an arbitrary real-valued matrix $\boldsymbol{Z}$, show that $\varphi^{-1}(\boldsymbol{Z})$ is a centro-Hermitian matrix. Here $\varphi^{-1}(\boldsymbol{Z})$ is the inverse mapping which retrieves $\boldsymbol{A}$ from $\varphi(\boldsymbol{A})$.
4. We would like to apply 2-D ESPRIT on the following sensor array:

(a) For $\Delta=\lambda / 2$, find the sensor elements belonging to the largest possible subarrays in vertical $(\mu)$ and horizontal $(\nu)$ directions, respectively. Note that the displacement between the corresponding elements of the first and the second subarray must be less than or equal to $\lambda / 2$.
(b) Find the selection matrices for the vertical $(\mu)$ direction $\boldsymbol{J}_{\mu, 1}$ and $\boldsymbol{J}_{\mu, 2}$.
(c) What is the maximum number of incoherent wavefront $d_{\max }$ which can be resolved?
(d) Repeat task (a) for $\Delta=\lambda / 4$.
(e) How does $d_{\text {max }}$ change for $\Delta=\lambda / 4$ ?
5. Consider a uniform rectangular array of $M=M_{x} \times M_{y}$ sensors. Without loss of generality, we assume (9+2 pt) $M_{x} \leq M_{y}$ (for $M_{x}>M_{y}$ we can simply flip the dimensions).
(a) You are given a total of $M=48$ sensors. Find all arrangements of the $M$ sensors in form of an $M_{x} \times M_{y}$ URA where $1<M_{x} \leq M_{y}$.
(b) For each arrangement find the maximum number of incoherent wavefront $d_{\text {max }}$ we can have for 2-D ESPRIT. Note that in both directions $x$ and $y$, the number of sensors per subarray must be greater than or equal to $d$.

If sources are coherent, we need to apply proper preprocessing to decorrelate them. Since the array is centro-symmetric we use forward-backward averaging. Additionally, we apply 2-D spatial smoothing by dividing the array into $L_{x} \times L_{y}$ subarrays ( $L_{x}$ in $x$-direction and $L_{y}$ in $y$-direction) where $1 \leq L_{x}<M_{x}$ and $1 \leq L_{y}<M_{y}$. In total, this decorrelates $2 \cdot L=2 \cdot L_{x} \cdot L_{y}$ sources.
(c) What is the size of the array after 2-D spatial smoothing has been applied?
(d) How many coherent sources can be estimated via 2-D Unitary ESPRIT for a given choice of $L_{x}$ and $L_{y}$ ?
Hint: Use the same reasoning as in (b).
(e) Find the maximum possible number of coherent sources (i.e., choose the best possible $L_{x}$ and $L_{y}$ ) for $M_{x}=3$ and $M_{y}=4$.
Hint: Try out all combinations of $L_{x}$ and $L_{y}$ for $1 \leq L_{x}<M_{x}$ and $1 \leq L_{y}<M_{y}$.
(f) (Bonus +2p) Consider a URA with $M=M_{x} \times M_{y}$ elements where $M$ is a square number, ie., $M=m^{2}$ for an integer $m$. Show that in this case, for incoherent wavefront the best distribution of $M$ sensors in form of a URA which maximizes $d_{\max }$ is given by setting $M_{x}=\sqrt{M}=m$.

