

Algorithmic Aspects of Communication Networks Chapter 3 General Optimization Methods for Network Design

Part 2



Algorithmic Aspects of ComNets (WS 21/22): 03 – Optimization Methods

1



The idea of branch and bound methods

□ Consider a (hard to solve) optimization problem

minimize f(x) subject to $x \in M$ (1)

□ Associate a *relaxed* optimization problem

minimize g(x) subject to $x \in R$ (2)

such that

- $R \supseteq M$,
- if $x \in R$, then g(x) = f(x), and
- (2) can be solved efficiently.





□ Recall:

An optimal solution for (1) is an element x^* of M such that $f(x) \ge f(x^*)$ for all x in M (likewise for (2)).

□ Claim 1: (a) If y^* is an optimal solution for (2), then $f(x) \ge f(y^*)$ for all x in M. (b) If y^* is an optimal solution for (2) and $y^* \in M$, then y^* is an optimal solution for (1) too.

Claim 2:

Let $M = M_1 \cup M_2$ be a partition of M, and let x_k^* be an optimal solution for the OP

minimize f(x) subject to $x \in M_k$ (1_k)

(k=1,2). Then argmin_x { $f(x_1^*), f(x_2^*)$ } is an optimal solution for (1).





□ Let *R*' be a nonempty subset of *R*, and consider the optimization problem

minimize g(y) subject to $y \in R'$ (R').

- □ If (*R*') has a solution, then SOLVE(*R*') returns a pair $(y^*, g(y^*))$ consisting of an optimal solution y^* of (*R*') and the corresponding value $g(y^*)$, otherwise SOLVE(*R*') returns (n,n).
- □ If SOLVE(*R*') yields an optimal solution $y^* \notin M$, then BRANCH(*R*', y^*) returns two disjoint subsets R'_1 , R'_2 of *R*' such that

 $M\cap R'=(M\cap R'_1)\cup (M\cap R'_2).$



Branch and Bound – the basic algorithm

TELEMATIK

Rechnernetze

```
The idea of the algorithm
      □ Initialization L \leftarrow \{R\}; best \leftarrow \infty;
          While \mathbf{L} \neq \emptyset do
      begin
               choose B \in L:
                                                                             % according to a specific rule %
                (y^*, g(y^*)) \leftarrow \text{SOLVE}(B);
                 if y^* \in M and g(y^*) < best then
                         begin
                            best \leftarrow g(y^*);
                            y_{best} \leftarrow y^*;
                            remove B from L;
                         end;
                 if g(y^*) \ge best then remove B from L; % bounding %
                 else
                                                                             % branching %
                      begin
                         (B_1, B_2) \leftarrow \mathsf{BRANCH}(B, y^*);
                                 \mathbf{L} \leftarrow \mathbf{L} \cup \{B_1, B_2\};
                      end;
              end
```



Branch and Bound – the basic algorithm

A more concrete recursive realization of Branch and Bound

```
□ Initialization A \leftarrow M, B \leftarrow R, best \leftarrow \infty
```

TELEMATIK

Rechnernetze

```
\Box procedure BB(A,B,f,g)
    begin
        (y^*, g(y^*)) \leftarrow \text{SOLVE}(B)
        if y^* \in A then
           if g(y^*) < best then
             <u>begin</u> best \leftarrow g(y^*); return (y^*, g(y^*))
           else
            if g(y^*) \ge best then return
                                                     % bounding %
                                                     % branching %
            else
             begin
                 (B_1, B_2) \leftarrow \mathsf{BRANCH}(B, y^*);
                 BB(A, B_1, f, g);
                 BB(A, B_2, f, g);
              end;
      end
```



Branch and Bound – the basic algorithm

Remarks:

ernetze

- Branch and Bound yields an (exact) optimal solution provided there is a constant *K* (depending on the input) such that all subproblems obtained after at most *K* repetitions of BRANCH either have no optimal solution or their optimal solutions are in *M*.
- Branch and Bound is not (necessarily) efficient.



Branch and Bound for MIP

□ Consider the MIP (1)

TELEMATIK

Rechnernetze

minimize $f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{c} \mathbf{x}$ subject to $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$, $\mathbf{x} \ge \mathbf{0}$, and x_j is integer for all $k \in I$ where $\mathbf{x} = (x_{1,...,}x_n), \mathbf{b} \ge \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{A}$ has rank $m \le n$, and $I \subseteq \{1,...,n\}$

□ Choose as relaxed problem the LOP (2) (called *LP-relaxation*)

minimize	$f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{c} \ \boldsymbol{x}$
subject to	$ \begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{Ax} = & \mathbf{b}, \\ \mathbf{x} \ge & 0 \end{array} $
where	$\boldsymbol{x} = (\boldsymbol{x}_{1,,}\boldsymbol{x}_n), \boldsymbol{b} \ge \boldsymbol{0}$, and \boldsymbol{A} has rank $m \le n$



Branch and Bound for MIP

- □ SOLVE can be any method to solve LOPs (e.g. the simplex algortihm).
- □ If $(y^*, g(y^*))$ is an optimal solution where y^*_k is not an integer, then BRANCH(*B*, y^*) 'adds' new inequalitities to *B*, i.e.

BRANCH(*B*,
$$y^*$$
) = (B_1, B_2) where
 $B_1 = \{y \in B \mid y_k \le [y^*_k]\},$
 $B_2 = \{y \in B \mid y_k \ge \{y^*_k\}\}.$

(Notation: $[y_k^*]$ is the smallest integer not smaller than y_k^* and $\{y_k^*\}$ is the greatest integer not greater than y_k^* .)





□ Consider the MIP (1)

minimize $f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{c} \mathbf{x}$ subject to $\mathbf{x} \in M = \{\mathbf{x} | A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{x} \ge \mathbf{0}, \text{ and } x_j \text{ is integer for all } k \in I\}$ where $\mathbf{x} = (x_{1,...,}x_n) \text{ and } I \subseteq \{1,...,n\},$ and its LP-relaxation (2)minimize $f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{c} \mathbf{x}$

subject to $x \in R = \{x \mid Ax = b, x \ge 0\}.$





- $\Box \quad A \text{ valid cut for (1) is an equality } \mathbf{d} \mathbf{x} \ge q \text{ such that}$
 - { $x | Ax = b, x \ge 0, d x \ge q$ } $\neq R$ and
 - { $x | Ax = b, x \ge 0$, and x_j is integer for all $k \in I$, $dx \ge q$ } = M.
- Outline of a cutting plane algorithm

```
begin

B \leftarrow R;

(y^*, g(y^*)) \leftarrow \text{SOLVE}(B);

while y^* \notin M do

begin

compute a valid cut \mathbf{d} \mathbf{x} \ge q for (B);

B \leftarrow \{\mathbf{x} \in B \mid \mathbf{d} \mathbf{x} \ge q\};

(y^*, g(y^*)) \leftarrow \text{SOLVE}(B);

end;

end
```





- □ There are two kinds of cuts:
 - problem specific ones (e.g. for the knap sack problem), and
 - general purpose ones (Gomory cuts).
- Gomory cuts
 - Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_{1,...,}x_n)$ be a basic solution of the LP-relaxation such that x_i is not an integer.
 - Then x_i is a basic variable and from the simplex tableau we know that

$$x_i + \sum a_{ij} x_j = b_i$$
 (a)

Equation (a) implies

$$x_i + \sum [a_{ij}] x_j - [b_i] = b_i - [b_i] - \sum (a_{ij} - [a_{ij}]) x_j$$
(b)





- □ For any point $x \in M$ the right hand site of (b) is less than 1, and the left hand site is an integer.
- □ Consequently,

$$b_{i} - [b_{i}] - \sum (a_{ij} - [a_{ij}]) x_{i} \le 0$$
 (c)

for any point $\mathbf{x} \in M$.

u Furthermore, for the original basic solution $\mathbf{x} = (x_{1,...,}x_n)$ is

$$b_i - [b_i] - \sum (a_{ij} - [a_{ij}]) x_i = b_i - [b_i]$$

(because all non basic variables =0) not an integer, and therefore

$$b_i - [b_i] - \sum (a_{ij} - [a_{ij}]) x_i = b_i - [b_i] > 0,$$

i.e. (c) excludes x.

□ Hence (c) is a valid cut. The inequality (c) is called a Gomory cut.

