Algorithmic Aspects of Communication Networks Chapter 6 Biconnectivity Augmentation

Thomas Böhme Technische Universität Ilmenau

WS 2021/22

Let G = (V, E) be a finite undirected graph.

- G is connected if for any two distinct vertices $u, v \in V$ there is a path connecting u and v in G.
- A maximal connected subgraph of G is called a *component* of G.
- ► G is biconnected if it is connected and for any vertex v ∈ V the graph G − v obtained from G by deleting v is connected too.
- A maximal biconnected subgraph of G is called a *block* of G.
- A block B of G is called *isolated* if it is also a component of G.
 B is called an *end block* if it contains exactly one articulation.
- A vertex v ∈ V is said to be an articulation if G − v has more components than G.

Let G = (V, E) be a finite undirected graph and $v \in V$.

- c = c(G) ... number of components of G
- p = p(G) ... number of end blocks of G
- q = q(G) ... number of isolated blocks of G
- d(v:G) ... number of components of G v
- ► $d = d(G) = \max\{d(v : G) \mid v \in V\}$

Remark. Note that p = 0 implies d = 1, and p > 0 implies $p \ge 2$.

Proposition (1)

Let = (V, E) be a finite undirected graph with c components. The minimal number of additional edges needed to make G connected is c - 1.

Proposition (2)

Let G = (V, E) be a finite undirected graph and $E' \subseteq {\binom{V}{2}}$ such that G is not biconnected but $G' = (V, E \cup E')$ is biconnected. Then $|E'| \ge \max\{d - 1, q + \lceil \frac{p}{2} \rceil\}$. Proof.

- (i) Let v ∈ V be a vertex of G and A₁,..., A_{d(v:G)} the components of G − v. It follows from Proposition (1) that E' contains d(v : G) − 1 edges connecting the components of G − v. Hence, |E'| ≥ d − 1.
- (ii) Any isolated block of G is incident with at least two distinct edges in E' and any end block is incident with at least one edge in E'. Thus $2|E'| \ge 2q + p$ and so, $|E'| \ge q + \lceil p/2 \rceil$. \Box

Proposition (3)

Let G = (V, E) be a finite undirected graph that is not biconnected. Then there is a set $E' \subseteq \binom{V}{2}$ such that $G' = (V, E \cup E')$ is biconnected and $|E'| = h(G) = \max\{d - 1, q + \lceil p/2 \rceil\}.$ Proof.

The proof is by induction on h(G). We let p' = p(G'), q' = q(G'), d' = d(G'), and h' = h(G').

If h(G) = 1, then $q + \lceil p/2 \rceil \le 1$. If p = 0, then d = 1, and q = 1. This contradicts the assumption that G is not biconnected. Hence, p = 2 and q = 0. Let A, B be the two end blocks of G. Adding an edge that connects a non articulation vertex in A with one in B results in a biconnected graph. This establishes the base case.

For the inductive step we prove that if $h(G) \ge 2$, then there is an edge $e \in \binom{V}{2}$ such that h(G') = h(G) - 1 for $G' = (V, E \cup \{e\})$.

Case 1: G is not connected.

Let A, B be two distinct components of G and $a \in V(A), b \in V(B)$ such that

- - a and b are not articulations,
 - if A is not an isolated block, then a is contained in an end block, and
 - if B is not an isolated block, then b is contained in an end block.

Case 1.1: p = 0

- d = q unless G is edgeless, in which case d = q − 1. Consequently, d − 1 < q + [p/2].
- ► $q' \leq q$
- If q' = q 1, then p' = p = 0.
- If q' = q 2, then p' = p + 2 = 2.

In either case $q' + \lceil p'/2 \rceil = q + \lceil p/2 \rceil - 1$. Hence h' = h - 1.

7	/	1	1
1	/	-	-

Case 1.2: *p* > 0

- There is an articulation x such that d(x : G) = d.
- It follows d' = d 1.

As in case 1.1, $q' + \lceil p'/2 \rceil = q + \lceil p/2 \rceil - 1$. Hence h' = h - 1.

Case 2: *G* is connected. Since *G* is not biconnected, q = 0. Thus $h = h(G) = \max\{d - 1, \lceil p/2 \rceil\}$. Furthermore, if *G'* is obtained from *G* by adding an arbitrary edge, then q(G') = q = 0.

If d − 1 < [p/2], then adding an edge connecting two non articulation vertices in two distinct end blocks of G results in a graph G' with p' = p(G') = p − 2. It follows h' = h − 1.</p>

For the remaining case $d-1 \ge \lceil p/2 \rceil$ some preparation is needed.

Let *u* be an articulation of *G* and A_1, \ldots, A_k the components of G - u. If *F* is an end block of *G*, then there is exactly one component A_i of G - u such that A_i contains F - u. Conversely, for any component A_i there is at least one end block *F* such that A_i contains F - u. Let a_i denote the number of end blocks *F* such that that A_i contains F - u. Clearly, $a_1 + \cdots + a_k = p$ and $a_i \ge 1$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, k$.

Suppose that $d(u: G) - 1 = d - 1 \ge \lceil p/2 \rceil$. Since $a_1 + \cdots + a_d = p$ and $a_i \ge 1$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, d$, it follows that $\max\{a_i \mid i = 1, \ldots, d\} \le p - (d - 1)$. This implies that

- max{ $a_i \mid i = 1, \ldots, d$ } $\leq \lceil p/2 \rceil$, and that
- max{a_i | i = 1,...,d} < [p/2] if d − 1 > [p/2], or, if d − 1 = [p/2] and p is odd. Thus max{a_i | i = 1,...,d} = [p/1] if and only if d − 1 = [p/2] and p is even. We also conclude that in this case exactly one a_i is p/2 and all other a_i's are one.

Let v be an articulation of G different from u such that d(y:G) = d = d(x:G). Assume w.l.o.g. that y is contained in A_1 . Let B_1, \ldots, B_d be the components of G - y, and let b_1, \ldots, b_d be the number of end blocks F such that B_i contains F - u. Suppose that u is contained in B_1 . Then $b_1 \ge a_2 + \cdots + a_d$ and $a_1 \ge b_2 + \cdots + b_d$. Hence, $d(y:G) \le 1 + b_2 + \cdots + b_d \le 1 + a_1$. Consequently, $d(y:G) - 1 = d(u:G) - 1 = d - 1 \ge \lfloor p/2 \rfloor$ implies that $a_1 = b_1 = \lceil p/2 \rceil$ and $d(y:G) = d(u:G) = d = \lceil p/2 \rceil$. In this case is $a_1 = b_2 + \cdots + b_d$. It follows that B_1, \ldots, B_d are exactly the end blocks contained in A_1 . Furthermore, it follows that $a_2 = \ldots = a_d = b_2 = \ldots = b_d = 1$ and no A_i with $i \neq 1$ can contain an articulation v with $d(v:G) - 1 = d - 1 = \lfloor p/2 \rfloor$. We conclude that adding an edge connecting a non articulation vertex in an end block that intersects A_1 with one in an end block intersecting A_2 (or any other A_i with $i \neq 1$) results in a graph G' with d' = d(G') = d - 1 and p' = p(G') = p - 2, i.e. h' = h(G') = h - 1. (Note that this is also true if u is the only articulation with $d(u:G) - 1 = d - 1 = \lceil p/2 \rceil$.) \square

11/11